

2018 Current Fiscal Year Report: Technical Hazardous Liquid Pipeline Safety Standards Committee

Report Run Date: 06/05/2019 08:36:19 PM

1. Department or Agency

Department of Transportation

2. Fiscal Year

2018

3. Committee or Subcommittee

Technical Hazardous Liquid Pipeline Safety Standards Committee

3b. GSA Committee No.

702

4. Is this New During Fiscal Year?

No

5. Current Charter

10/24/2016

6. Expected Renewal Date

10/24/2018

7. Expected Term Date

8a. Was Terminated During Fiscal Year?

No

8b. Specific Termination Authority

N/A

8c. Actual Term Date

9. Agency Recommendation for Next Fiscal Year

Continue

10a. Legislation Req to Terminate?

Not Applicable

10b. Legislation Pending?

Not Applicable

11. Establishment Authority Statutory (Congress Created)

12. Specific Establishment Authority

Section 60115 of Title 49, United States Code

13. Effective Date

11/30/1979

14. Committee Type

Continuing

14c. Presidential?

No

15. Description of Committee Scientific Technical Program Advisory Board

16a. Total Number of Reports

No Reports for this Fiscal Year

17a. Open 1 17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 1 Meetings and Dates

Purpose	Start	End
Discuss topics and provisions of the proposed rule titled "Safety of Gas Transmission and Gathering Pipelines," and a joint meeting of the GPAC and the Technical Hazardous Liquid Pipeline Safety Standards Committee, also known as the Liquid Pipeline Advisory Committee (LPAC). The purpose of the joint meeting of the GPAC and LPAC is to discuss a variety of policy issues and topics relative to pipeline safety.	12/13/2017	12/15/2017

Number of Committee Meetings Listed: 1

	Current FY	Next FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$21,134.19	\$21,134.19
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00

18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$5,000.00	\$5,000.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$1,500.00	\$15,000.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$16,000.00	\$9,600.00
18d. Total	\$43,634.19	\$50,734.19
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	0.13	0.13

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

The THLPSSC is a statutorily mandated advisory committee that advises PHMSA on proposed standards for hazardous liquid pipelines and facilities. The committee reviews each proposal to determine the technical feasibility, cost effectiveness, reasonableness, and practicability of each proposal. The committee also reviews matters relating to risk assessment. The members provide valuable technical knowledge and practical experience to supplement staff resources at relatively low cost. Since it is composed of government, industry, and public members, the committee serves as a unique forum for give and take, facilitating discussion of complex and often controversial issues. The balance of views on the Committee aids the Office of Pipeline Safety in evaluating conflicting demands placed on us by NTSB, GAO, Congress, and other external stakeholders.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The membership of the Committee represents a unique mix of government, industry and public members. This balance of views reflects varying interests in pipeline safety. The Committee provides a valuable, independent base of technical knowledge and practical experience.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The Committee generally meets twice each calendar year.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

The Committee brings together experts with technical knowledge and practical experience who represent all constituent groups (government, industry, public) having an interest in pipeline safety. Frank and open discussion of proposed hazardous liquid pipeline safety standards by these experts is both economical and productive. The result is a stronger pipeline safety program. No other readily available source of similar expertise exists within government or industry.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

N/A

21. Remarks

The committee is continuing under current legislation.

Designated Federal Officer

Alan Mayberry Associate Administrator for Pipeline Safety, Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
Armstrong, Lanny	11/12/2017	11/12/2020	Fire Chief, City of Pasadena Texas	Representative Member
Aydt, Timothy	10/12/2017	10/12/2020	President, Marathon Pipe Line LLC	Representative Member
Bacon, Graham	10/12/2017	10/12/2020	Enterprise Products	Representative Member
Barnett, David	11/10/2016	11/10/2019	United Association of Plumbers and Pipefitters	Representative Member
Barnhill, Jerry	10/12/2017	10/12/2020	DCP Midstream	Representative Member
Bryson, David	10/12/2017	10/12/2020	Enbridge Liquids Pipelines	Representative Member
Denton, C. Todd	10/12/2017	10/12/2020	Phillips 66 Pipeline, LLC	Representative Member
Fiegen, Kristie	11/10/2016	11/10/2019	South Dakota Public Service Commission	Representative Member
Kuprewicz, Richard	11/19/2007	10/01/2018	President, Accufacts, Incorporated	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Lantz, Jeffrey	08/11/2016	08/11/2019	Director, Commercial Regulations and Standards US Coast Guard Headquarters	Regular Government Employee (RGE) Member
Lesniak, Charles	10/12/2017	10/12/2020	Environmental Officer, City of Austin, TX	Representative Member
Saari, Norman	11/10/2016	11/10/2019	Michigan Public Service Commission	Representative Member
Tahamtani, Massoud	10/12/2017	08/31/2018	Director, Division of Utility & Railroad Safety, VA State Corporation Commission	Representative Member
Weimer, Carl	10/12/2017	10/12/2020	Executive Director, Pipeline Safety Trust	Representative Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 14

Narrative Description

The committee considers each proposed pipeline safety rule to assure technical feasibility, reasonableness, cost-effectiveness and practicability of proposed standards. The members also review and evaluate each associated cost-benefit analysis and risk assessment.

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

Improvements to health or safety	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Trust in government	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Major policy changes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Advance in scientific research	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Effective grant making	<input type="checkbox"/>

- | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|
| Improved service delivery | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Increased customer satisfaction | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Other | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Outcome Comments

NA

What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

- | | |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| None | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Unable to Determine | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Under \$100,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| \$100,000 - \$500,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| \$500,001 - \$1,000,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| \$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| \$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Over \$10,000,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Cost Savings Other | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Cost Savings Comments

NA

What is the approximate Number of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

37

Number of Recommendations Comments

Number of recommendations count per proposed rule; therefore, the estimate would be 37 for 37 proposed rules.

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Fully implemented by the agency?

92%

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

The charge of this committee is to consider all of PHMSA's proposed rules applicable to hazardous liquid pipelines and facilities. During this reporting period, the committee did not have any proposed rule to consider.

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Partially implemented by the agency?

0%

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

See explanation above.

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?

Yes ☐ No ☐ Not Applicable ☒

Agency Feedback Comments

See explanation above.

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

Checked if Applies

Reorganized Priorities	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reallocated resources	<input type="checkbox"/>
Issued new regulation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Proposed legislation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Approved grants or other payments	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Action Comments

Not Applicable

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?

No

Grant Review Comments

NA

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

Checked if Applies

Contact DFO	<input type="checkbox"/>
Online Agency Web Site	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Online Committee Web Site	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Online GSA FACA Web Site



Publications



Other



Access Comments

N/A